

A Prepalatial Cemetery and Its Environs in the Asterousia, Southern Crete

### A Prepalatial Cemetery and Its Environs in the Asterousia, Southern Crete

*by* Andonis Vasilakis and Keith Branigan

with contributions by

Tim Campbell-Green, Tristan Carter, Doniert Evely, Jane Francis, Flora Michelaki, Kostas Sbonias, and Sevi Triantaphyllou



Published by INSTAP Academic Press Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 2010

#### Design and Production

INSTAP Academic Press

**Printing** CRWGraphics, Pennsauken, New Jersey

Binding

Hoster Bindery, Inc., Ivyland, Pennsylvania

FPO FSC

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Vasilakes, Antones.

Moni Odigitria : a prepalatial cemetery and its environs in the Asterousia, southern Crete / by Andonis Vasilakis and Keith Branigan ; with contributions by Tim Campbell-Green ... [et al.].

p. cm. — (Prehistory monographs; 30)

Includes bibliographical references and indexes.

ISBN 978-1-931534-58-1 (hardcover : alk. paper)

1. Crete (Greece)—Antiquities. 2. Moni Odigitria (Crete, Greece) 3. Cemeteries—Greece—Crete. 4. Tombs—

Greece—Crete. 5. Excavations (Archaeology)—Greece—Crete. 6. Bronze age—Greece—Crete. 7. Human remains (Archaeology)—Greece—Crete. 8. Material culture—Greece—Crete. 9. Minoans—Greece—Crete—Antiquities. I. Branigan, Keith. II. Campbell-Green, Tim. III. Title.

DF221.C8V28 2010

939'.18-dc22

2010044805

Copyright © 2010 INSTAP Academic Press Philadelphia, Pennsylvania All rights reserved Printed in the United States of America For

Michalis A. Vasilakis



### Table of Contents

List of Tables
List of Figures
List of Plates
Introduction and Acknowledgments
List of Abbreviations
Glossary
PART I. SURVEY AND EXCAVATION
1. Survey of the Environs around the Tholos Cemetery,         Keith Branigan and Andonis Vasilakis.         Appendix: The Roman Pottery, Jane Francis.         .28
2. Excavation and Architecture of the Cemetery, Andonis Vasilakis
PART II. POTTERY
3. The Pottery Assemblage: Data and Analysis, <i>Keith Branigan and Tim Campbell-Green</i>
4. Interpretation of the Pottery Assemblage, <i>Keith Branigan and Tim Campbell-Green</i>

PART III. SMALL FINDS
5. Metalwork, <i>Keith Branigan</i>
6. Of Blades and Burials, Flakes and Funerals: The Chipped Stone from Moni Odigitria, <i>Tristan Carter</i>
7. Stone Vases and Tools, <i>Doniert Evely</i> 171
8. Jewelry and Other Small Finds, Flora Michelaki and Andonis Vasilakis
9. Seals from the Cemetery, <i>Kostas Sbonias</i>
PART IV. HUMAN REMAINS
10. Analysis of the Human Bones, Sevi Triantaphyllou
PART V. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS
11. History and Use of the Cemetery, <i>Keith Branigan</i>
12. The Hagiopharango in the Early Bronze Age, Keith Branigan and Andonis Vasilakis
13. Προανακτορικό Νεκροταφείο Μονής Οδηγήτριας, Andonis Vasilakis
Concordance A: Omades, Trenches, Areas, and Strata from the 1980 Excavation of Tholos B
Concordance B: Herakleion Museum Numbers and Catalog Numbers for All Types of Objects 291
Concordance C: Catalog and Herakleion Museum Numbers for All Types of Objects
References
Index
Tables
Figures

Plates



#### List of Tables

- Table 1.Sherds from the looters' soil in the environs of Tholos A.
- Table 2.Ware/form correlation in the assemblage from the looters' soil in the environs of Tholos A<br/>(including complete/restored vases).
- Table 3. Sherds from the looters' soil inside Tholos A.
- Table 4. Ware/form correlation in the assemblage from the looters' soil inside Tholos A.
- Table 5. Sherds from Trench A/B stratum II.
- Table 6. Sherds from Trench A/B stratum III.
- Table 7. Ware/form correlation in the assemblage from Trench A/B strata II and III.
- Table 8. Sherds from Trench A/ $\Delta$  stratum I.
- Table 9. Sherds from Trench A/ $\Delta$  stratum II.
- Table 10. Ware/form correlation in the assemblage from Trench A/ $\Delta$  stratum II.
- Table 11. Sherds from Trench A/ $\Delta$  stratum III.
- Table 12. Ware/form correlation in the assemblage from Trench A/ $\Delta$  stratum III.
- Table 13. Sherds from Trench A/E stratum I.
- Table 14. Sherds from Trench A/E stratum II.
- Table 15. Sherds from Trench A/E2 stratum I.

- Table 16.Sherds from Trench A/E2 stratum II.
- Table 17. Sherds from the "burned deposits," Trenches A/ $\Delta$  stratum IIIB, A/E2 stratum IIIA, and A/Z stratum IIIA.
- Table 18.Ware/form correlation in the sherd assemblage from the "burned deposits" in Trench A/ $\Delta$ stratum IIIB, Trench A/E2 stratum IIIA, and Trench A/Z stratum IIIA.
- Table 19. Sherds from Trench A/E stratum III.
- Table 20. Ware/form correlation in the sherd assemblage from Trench A/E stratum III.
- Table 21. Sherds from Trench A/E2 stratum III.
- Table 22. Ware/form correlation in the assemblage from Trench A/E2 stratum III.
- Table 23. Sherds from Trench A/E2 stratum IV.
- Table 24. Ware/form correlation in the assemblage from Trench A/E2 stratum IV.
- Table 25. Sherds from Trench A/Z stratum I.
- Table 26. Sherds from Trench A/Z stratum II.
- Table 27. Ware/form correlation in the assemblage from Trench A/Z stratum II.
- Table 28. Sherds from Trench A/Z stratum III East.
- Table 29.Sherds from Trench A/Z stratum III Central.
- Table 30. Ware/form correlation in the assemblage from Trench A/Z stratum III East.
- Table 31. Ware/form correlation in the assemblage from Trench A/Z stratum III Central.
- Table 32. Sherds from Trench A/Z stratum III West.
- Table 33. Ware/form correlation in the assemblage from Trench A/Z stratum III West.
- Table 34. Sherds from Trench A/Z stratum IV East.
- Table 35.Sherds from Trench A/Z stratum IV Central.
- Table 36. Ware/form correlation in the assemblage from Trench A/Z stratum IV East.
- Table 37. Ware/form correlation in the assemblage from Trench A/Z stratum IV Central.
- Table 38.Sherds from undisturbed basal deposit, Tholos A.
- Table 39. Ware/form correlation in the assemblage from undisturbed basal deposit, Tholos A.
- Table 40. Sherds from looters' soil in the environs of Tholos B.
- Table 41.Ware/form correlation in the assemblage from the looters' soil in the environs of Tholos B<br/>(including complete/restored vases).
- Table 42. Sherds from Tholos B, topsoil (stratum I; Trenches A, B, I, and  $\Theta$ ).
- Table 43. Ware/form correlation in the assemblage from the topsoil (stratum I) in Tholos B (Trenches A, B, I, and  $\Theta$ ).
- Table 44. Sherds from Central Zone stratum I (Trenches  $\Gamma$ , E, Z, and  $\Delta$ ).
- Table 45. Ware/form correlation for the sherd assemblage from Central Zone stratum I (Trenches  $\Gamma$ , E, Z, and  $\Delta$ ).

х

- Table 46. Sherds from Trench K,  $\Lambda$ , and M, stratum I.
- Table 47. Ware/form correlation in the assemblage from Trenches K, Λ, and M, stratum I.
- Table 48. Sherds from the North Courtyard, stratum I (Trenches N to P).
- Table 49.Ware/form correlation in the assemblage from the North Courtyard, stratum I (Trenches N<br/>to P).
- Table 50.
   Sherds from the Rectangular Building (antechambers), stratum I.
- Table 51. Ware/form correlation in the assemblage from the Rectangular Building, stratum I.
- Table 52. Sherds from the Central Zone, stratum II (Trenches E, Z, and  $\Delta$ ).
- Table 53. Ware/form correlation in the assemblage from the Central Zone, stratum II (Trenches E, Z, and  $\Delta$ ).
- Table 54. Sherds from Trenches K, A, and M, stratum II.
- Table 55. Ware/form correlation in the assemblage from Trenches K, A, and M, stratum II.
- Table 56.Sherds from the Eastern Courtyard, stratum II.
- Table 57. Ware/form correlation in the assemblage from the Eastern Courtyard, stratum II.
- Table 58. Sherds from the Northern Courtyard, stratum II (Trenches H and N to P).
- Table 59.Ware/form correlation in the assemblage from the Northern Courtyard, stratum II (Trenches<br/>H and N to P).
- Table 60.Sherds from the Central Zone, stratum III (Room d).
- Table 61.Sherds from the Northern Courtyard, stratum III.
- Table 62. Sherds from the Ossuary Pit (Trench A), stratum II.
- Table 63. Ware/form correlation in the assemblage from the Ossuary Pit (Trench A), stratum II.
- Table 64. Sherds from the Ossuary Pit, stratum III.
- Table 65. Ware/form correlation in the assemblage in the Ossuary Pit (Trench A), stratum III.
- Table 66.Sherds from the Ossuary Pit, stratum IV.
- Table 67.Sherds from Room e, stratum II.
- Table 68.Sherds from Rectangular Building Room a, stratum II.
- Table 69. Ware/form correlation in the assemblage from Rectangular Building Room a, stratum II.
- Table 70. Sherds from Rectangular Building Room a1, stratum III.
- Table 71. Ware/form correlation in the assemblage from Rectangular Building Room a1, stratum III.
- Table 72.Sherds from Rectangular Building Room a2, stratum III.
- Table 73. Ware/form correlation in the assemblage from Rectangular Building Room a2, stratum III.
- Table 74.Sherds from Rectangular Building Room b, stratum II.
- Table 75.Sherds from Rectangular Building Room b1, stratum III.
- Table 76.Sherds from Rectangular Building Room b2, stratum III.

- Table 77. Ware/form correlation in the assemblage from Rectangular Building Room b2, stratum III.
- Table 78.Sherds from Rectangular Building Room c, stratum II.
- Table 79.Ware/form correlation in the assemblage from Rectangular Building Room c, stratum II.
- Table 80.Sherds from Rectangular Building Room c1, stratum III.
- Table 81.Sherds from Rectangular Building Room c2, stratum III.
- Table 82. Sherds from soil located immediately above the wall of Tholos B.
- Table 83.Sherds from Tholos B entrance, stratum IV.
- Table 84. The total ceramic assemblage from the cemetery, broken down by ware and stratum.
- Table 85.Number of sherds recovered of each fine ware chronological group and calculated Notional<br/>Deposition Rate per century (periods in years based broadly on those of Warren and<br/>Hankey 1989, 169).
- Table 86.Number of pots of each of four basic form/function groups (abbreviated as Cups, Jugs,<br/>Bowls, and Jars) broken down into the six fine ware chronological groups.
- Table 87.An adjusted calculation of the proportion of pots of each of the four basic form/function<br/>groups, broken down into five fine ware chronological groups.
- Table 88. The percentage of datable fine wares in the assemblage from each of strata IV, III, and II.
- Table 89. A breakdown of wares used in the cemetery according to their basic form/function.
- Table 90. Relative density of sherds and vessels in the five open areas of the cemetery.
- Table 91.
   Quantity of obsidian from Mesaran/central Cretan Prepalatial tholos tombs.
- Table 92.
   Relative proportion of obsidian with retouch in Cretan Bronze Age obsidian assemblages.
- Table 93.Rough estimates for the distribution of chipped stone within the Tholos B complex and the<br/>minimum obsidian blade count.
- Table 94.Mesaran tholoi containing obsidian.
- Table 95. Average width and thickness of "center blades" from Early Minoan and Cycladic contexts.
- Table 96.
   Longest obsidian blades and cores from Cycladic and Mesaran EBA burials.
- Table 97.
   Comparison of the assemblages from five tholos cemeteries.
- Table 98. Comparison of stone vases from five Mesara tholoi and in the Mitsotakis Collection.
- Table 99.Contexts of the seals from Moni Odigitria.
- Table 100. Attribution of the Odigitria and Mitsotakis Collection seals to style groups.
- Table 101. List of the skeletal elements counted in the analysis for one side only.
- Table 102. Codes for cranial elements and teeth.
- Table 103. Codes for post-cranial elements.
- Table 104. Order of representation of the individuals (MNI = 133) from Tholos A by bone type.
- Table 105.
   Tholos A: minimum number of individuals with evidence of burning according to sided bone fragments.

- Table 106. Tholos A: sex ratios according to sided bone fragments.
- Table 107.
   Tholos B: AMS dates for four individuals analyzed at the Vienna Environmental Research Accelerator (VERA).
- Table 108. Tholos B: sex ratios according to sided bone fragments.
- Table 109. Tholos B: distribution of age versus sex groups according to complete skulls.
- Table 110. Osteoarthritis in Tholos A.
- Table 111. Osteoarthritis in Tholos B.
- Table 112. Vertebral arthritis in Tholos A.
- Table 113. Vertebral arthritis in Tholos B.
- Table 114. Schmorl's nodes in Tholos A.
- Table 115. Schmorl's nodes in Tholos B.
- Table 116. Enthesopathies and cortical defects in Tholos A.
- Table 117. Enthesopathies and cortical defects in Tholos B.
- Table 118. Trauma in Tholos A.
- Table 119. Trauma in Tholos B.
- Table 120. Evidence of anemia (porotic hyperostosis/cribra orbitalia) in Tholos A.
- Table 121. Evidence of anemia (porotic hyperostosis/cribra orbitalia) in Tholos B.
- Table 122. Enamel hypoplasia in Tholos A.
- Table 123. Enamel hypoplasia in Tholos B.
- Table 124. Estimated age at development of enamel hypoplasia.
- Table 125. Non-specific infections in Tholos A.
- Table 126. Non-specific infections in Tholos B.
- Table 127. Neoplastic defects in Tholos A.
- Table 128. Neoplastic defects in Tholos B.
- Table 129. Osteochondritis dissecans in Tholos A.
- Table 130. Osteochondritis dissecans in Tholos B.
- Table 131. Caries in Tholos A.
- Table 132. Caries in Tholos B.
- Table 133. Calculus in Tholos A.
- Table 134. Calculus in Tholos B.
- Table 135. Antemortem tooth loss (amtl) in Tholos A.
- Table 136. Antemortem tooth loss (amtl) in Tholos B.
- Table 137. Abcess in Tholos A.
- Table 138. Abscess in Tholos B.

- Table 139.
   Tholos B, bone categories that provided measurements for estimation of stature in descending order.
- Table 140. Mean stature in Tholos B by sex group.
- Table 141. Functional breakdown of the pottery assemblage by date.
- Table 142.
   Quantities of goldwork, bronzework, stone vases, and sealstones found in four EBA tholos cemeteries.
- Table 143.Comparative frequency of vessel types at Hagia Kyriaki (Blackman and Branigan 1982, 40)<br/>and Moni Odigitria.
- Table 144.Comparative frequency of main ware groups at Hagia Kyriaki (Blackman and Branigan<br/>1982, 38) and Moni Odigitria.



### List of Figures

- Figure 1. Map of the Hagiopharango region in southern Crete.
- Figure 2. Map of the area surveyed in 2003 showing the survey grid of fields.
- Figure 3. Density plot of Prepalatial pottery in the survey area.
- Figure 4. Density plot of Palatial pottery in the survey area.
- Figure 5. Density plot of Roman pottery in the survey area.
- Figure 6. Final Neolithic pottery from the survey.
- Figure 7. Minoan pottery from the survey.
- Figure 8. Minoan pottery from the survey.
- Figure 9. Roman pottery from the survey.
- Figure 10. Plan of the Protopalatial house at Site 21A.
- Figure 11. Density plot of sherds at Site 21A, distinguishing between fine wares and storage vessels.
- Figure 12. Field sketch of Palatial Site 25A, made following the bush fire of 2005.
- Figure 13. Field sketch of the remains at Kephali Hagios Ioannes in Fields 25, 26, and 32.
- Figure 14. Plan and section of the excavated remains at Chatzinas Liophyto.
- Figure 15. Plan of the cemetery showing the location of robbers' pits (1979 and 1980).
- Figure 16. Plan of the cemetery with 1979 and 1980 excavation grids.

- Figure 17. Plan of Tholos A after excavation.
- Figure 18. Field sketch of the undisturbed basal area overlooked by the looters in Tholos A.
- Figure 19. Plan of Tholos B after excavation.
- Figure 20. Plan of the Central Zone after excavation.
- Figure 21. Plan of the upper stratum of the Ossuary.
- Figure 22. Plan of the lower stratum of the Ossuary.
- Figure 23. Plan of the Rectangular Building.
- Figure 24. Plan of the earlier phase of the Rectangular Building.
- Figure 25. Plan of the later phase of the Rectangular Building.
- Figure 26. Plan of the Southern, Eastern, and Outer Courtyards.
- Figure 27. Type forms of drinking vessels.
- Figure 28. Type forms of pouring vessels.
- Figure 29. Type forms of serving and storage vessels.
- Figure 30. Type forms of storage and cooking vessels.
- Figure 31. Type forms of communal vessels.
- Figure 32. Selected pottery from Group AA (P1–P24).
- Figure 33. Selected pottery from Group AA (P25–P36).
- Figure 34. Selected pottery from Group AA (P37–P44).
- Figure 35. Selected pottery from Groups AA (P45–P55) and AB (P59, P60).
- Figure 36. Selected pottery from Group AB (P61–P67).
- Figure 37. Selected pottery from Groups AB (P69–P71) and AC (P72–P83).
- Figure 38. Selected pottery from Groups AC (P84–P92) and AD (P93, P94).
- Figure 39. Selected pottery from Groups AD (P95–P100) and AE (P101–P108).
- Figure 40. Selected pottery from Group AE (P109–P116).
- Figure 41. Selected pottery from Group AE (P117–P122).
- Figure 42. Selected pottery from Group AE (P123–P132).
- Figure 43. Selected pottery from Groups AE (P133, P137, P138, P140) and AH (P141, P142, P152).
- Figure 44. Selected pottery from Group AH (P156, P159, P161–P163, P165, P170–P177).
- Figure 45. Selected pottery from Group AH (P178–P185).
- Figure 46. Selected pottery from Groups AH (P186–P188) and AK (P205–P213).
- Figure 47. Selected pottery from Groups AM (P216–P221), AN (P225, P226, P229, P232–P236, P238), and AO (P239, P240).
- Figure 48. Selected pottery from Groups AP (P241–P243, P245, P248, P249) and AR (P251–P253).

xvi

- Figure 49. Selected pottery from Groups AR (**P254–P256**) and BA (**P260–P262**, **P264–P267**, **P272–P277**, **P279**).
- Figure 50. Selected pottery from Groups BA (P280, P282–P287) and BC (P288, P294–P297, P299).
- Figure 51. Selected pottery from Groups BC (P300–P303) and BG (P307–P311).
- Figure 52. Selected pottery from Groups BG (P312–P314), BI (P315, P316), and BJ (P317–P322).
- Figure 53. Selected pottery from Groups BM (P326–P333) and BN (P334–P336, P338–P341).
- Figure 54. Selected pottery from Group BN (P342–P347).
- Figure 55. Selected pottery from Groups BN (**P348–P350**), BRB (**P352–P356**), and BRC (**P357–P359**, **P361**).
- Figure 56. Selected pottery from Groups BRE (**P364**), BRF (**P365**, **P367–P374**), and BRG (**P377–P381**).
- Figure 57. Copper and bronze artifacts from the cemetery (M1–M31).
- Figure 58. Raw materials in the chipped stone assemblage (n=801).
- Figure 59. Techno-typological classification of the obsidian (n=474).
- Figure 60. Techno-typological classification of the obsidian blade assemblage (n=427).
- Figure 61. Obsidian (CS1–CS34) from Tholos A excavations (1979).
- Figure 62. Obsidian (CS35–CS83) from Tholos B excavations (1980).
- Figure 63. Obsidian from the Ossuary Pit (CS84–CS86) and flaked chert (CS87–CS101, CS103) and other silicious material (CS102) from the cemetery.
- Figure 64. Flaked chert (CS104–CS106) and other siliceous materials (CS107–CS111) from the cemetery.
- Figure 65. Comparison of the state of blade assemblages from select Prepalatial tholoi.
- Figure 66. Techno-typological comparison of the "red-green cherts" assemblage.
- Figure 67. Techno-typological comparison of Tholos A and B obsidian assemblages.
- Figure 68. State of fragmentation of the obsidian prismatic blade assemblages from Tholos B complex: burial chamber versus Ossuary Pit.
- Figure 69. Presence of obsidian in Mesara tomb assemblages in relationship to publication status.
- Figure 70. Comparing the width (in cm) of "center blades" from various Mesara and central Cretan burial assemblages.
- Figure 71. Comparing the thickness (in cm) of "center blades" from various Mesara and central Cretan burial assemblages.
- Figure 72. Width/thickness ratios of "center blades" from various Cretan and Cycladic assemblages.
- Figure 73. Stone bowls (SV1–SV9).
- Figure 74. Stone bowls (SV10–SV25).
- Figure 75. Stone bowls (SV26–SV36).
- Figure 76. Cylindrical stone vessels (SV37–SV47).

- Figure 77. Miscellaneous stone vases (SV49–SV56).
- Figure 78. Miscellaneous small finds: pendants (J1–J6, J8, J9, J11, J13, J16, J17, J19) and silver bead (J41).

- Figure 79. Miscellaneous small finds: gold diadems (J54, J55), figurine (F1), and tools (MISC1–MISC3).
- Figure 80. Triangular pottery counters made from Wares 8, 10, and 11.
- Figure 81. Distribution of early soft stone seals in central and southern Crete.
- Figure 82. Distribution of early bone seals in central and southern Crete.
- Figure 83. Distribution of ivory seals and related style-groups in central and southern Crete.
- Figure 84. Distribution of style-groups of the end of the Prepalatial period in central and southern Crete.
- Figure 85. Tholos A: preservational status of the skeletal elements.
- Figure 86. Tholos A: degrees of weathering of the skeletal elements.
- Figure 87. Tholos A: skeletal representation according to bone categories.
- Figure 88. Tholos A: degrees of burning of the skeletal elements.
- Figure 89. Tholos A: mortality profiles.
- Figure 90. Tholos B: preservational status of the skeletal elements.
- Figure 91. Tholos B: degrees of weathering of the skeletal elements.
- Figure 92. Tholos B: skeletal representation according to bone categories.
- Figure 93. Tholos B: spatial distribution of the skeletal elements.
- Figure 94. Tholos B Ossuary Pit: skeletal representation according to bone categories.
- Figure 95. Tholos B: degrees of burning of the skeletal elements.
- Figure 96. Tholos B: mortality profiles.
- Figure 97. Prevalence of osteoarthritis in the skeleton.
- Figure 98. Prevalence of osteoarthritis by sex group.
- Figure 99. Prevalence of osteoarthritis in the appendicular skeleton by sex group.
- Figure 100. Prevalence of vertebral arthritis in the skeleton.
- Figure 101. Distribution of musculo-skeletal markers in the skeleton.
- Figure 102. Prevalence of musculo-skeletal markers by sex group.
- Figure 103. Distribution of musculo-skeletal markers in the appendicular skeleton by sex group.
- Figure 104. Prevalence of trauma in the skeleton.
- Figure 105. Tholos B: distribution of trauma in the skeleton by sex group.
- Figure 106. Tholos B: prevalence of anemia by sex group.
- Figure 107. Tholos B: prevalence of enamel hypoplasia by sex group.

xviii

- Figure 108. Distribution of non-specific infections in the skeleton.
- Figure 109. Prevalence of non-specific infections by sex group.
- Figure 110. Prevalence of caries by sex group.
- Figure 111. Prevalence of calculus by sex group.
- Figure 112. Prevalence of antemortem tooth loss (amtl) by sex group.
- Figure 113. Prevalence of antemortem tooth loss (amtl) in the dentition by sex group.
- Figure 114. Scoring of attrition.
- Figure 115. Tholos A: scoring of attrition by sex group.
- Figure 116. Tholos B: scoring of attrition by sex group.
- Figure 117. Mean stature of prehistoric populations in Crete.
- Figure 118. Mortality profiles of Tholoi A and B.
- Figure 119. Health status in Tholoi A and B.
- Figure 120. Oral status in Tholoi A and B.
- Figure 121. Health status by sex group in Tholoi A and B.
- Figure 122. Oral status by sex group in Tholoi A and B.
- Figure 123. Skulls (K1–K4) recovered in Tholos B.
- Figure 124. Skulls (K5–K8) recovered in Tholos B.
- Figure 125. Skulls (K9–K12) recovered in Tholos B.
- Figure 126. Skulls (K13–K16) recovered in Tholos B.
- Figure 127. Skulls (K17–K20) recovered in Tholos B.
- Figure 128. Skulls (K21–K24) recovered in Tholos B.
- Figure 129. Skulls (K25–K28) recovered in Tholos B.
- Figure 130. Skulls (K29–K32) recovered in Tholos B.
- Figure 131. Skulls (K33–K36) recovered in Tholos B.
- Figure 132. Skulls (K37–K40) recovered in Tholos B.
- Figure 133. Skulls (K42, K43, K46, K47) recovered in Tholos B.
- Figure 134. Cemetery development in Phase 1.
- Figure 135. Cemetery development in Phase 2.
- Figure 136. Cemetery development in Phase 3.
- Figure 137. The Hagiopharango in the Early Bronze Age.



#### List of Plates

- Plate 1. View of the upper Hagiopharango from the Moni Odigitria, with Site 15A on the rounded hill right of center.
- Plate 2. A saddle quern inside a disturbed structure at Site 15A.
- Plate 3. The southwest corner of the Protopalatial building at Site 21A.
- Plate 4. The low hill and slopes of Site 25A from the south.
- Plate 5. The hilltop Site 55A, right of center, from the south.
- Plate 6. Part of the perimeter wall on Site 55A.
- Plate 7. Kephali Hagios Ioannes from the southwest.
- Plate 8. Pounder, rubbers, and mortar from Kephali Hagios Ioannes.
- Plate 9. The cemetery site before excavation, but after looting, in 1979.
- Plate 10. Excavations begin at the cemetery in 1979.
- Plate 11. Tholos A after removal of looters' soil; the strip of undisturbed soil can be seen behind the door slabs.
- Plate 12. Tholos A after completion of excavation.
- Plate 13. Groups of vases and sherds in the pits in the North Eastern Area, 1979.
- Plate 14. Southern Courtyard after excavation, Tholos A top right.

- Plate 15. Eastern and Outer Courtyards after excavation, from the east. Room 1 is partially exposed at the right.
- Plate 16. Groups or "nests" of vases in the North Eastern Area (outer court), 1979.
- Plate 17. Groups or "nests" of vases in the North Eastern Area (outer court), 1979.
- Plate 18. Groups or "nests" of vases in the North Eastern Area (outer court), 1979.
- Plate 19. Looters' pits in the cemetery before excavation in 1980.
- Plate 20. Looters' pits at the site of Tholos B; note the sieved soil!
- Plate 21. Interior of Tholos B; bones and stones in situ in the northeast quadrant.
- Plate 22. Interior of Tholos B; detail of stones and bones in situ.
- Plate 23. Conical cups in situ in the built doorway of Tholos B.
- Plate 24. Central Zone: the Ossuary appearing between Tholos B to the right and Tholos A to the left.
- Plate 25. The Ossuary during excavation, showing the dense scatter of skeletal material.
- Plate 26. The Ossuary after exposure of bones and skulls; note some articulated skeletal elements.
- Plate 27. Ossuary detail: a pottery vessel among bones.
- Plate 28. Ossuary detail: a "nest" of five skulls as found in situ.
- Plate 29. Ossuary detail: skeletal remains in situ.
- Plate 30. Central Zone: the Ossuary (to the rear) and Room d.
- Plate 31. Central Zone: pottery on the original ground surface, north of Tholos A.
- Plate 32. Rectangular Building: Room a, vases in situ.
- Plate 33. Rectangular Building: Room c, vases in situ.
- Plate 34. Rectangular Building: Room a1, from the south.
- Plate 35. Rectangular Building: Room a1, vases and bones in situ.
- Plate 36. Rectangular Building: Room a2, vases in situ in northwest corner.
- Plate 37. Rectangular Building: Room a2, detail of vases in situ.
- Plate 38. Paving slabs in situ in Eastern Courtyard, with Room c of the Rectangular Building behind.
- Plate 39. Drinking vessels: handled cups and tankards.
- Plate 40. Drinking vessels: handled cups and conical cups.
- Plate 41. Pouring vessels: jugs and spouted bowls.
- Plate 42. Pouring vessels: spouted bowls and teapots.
- Plate 43. Pouring vessels: zoomorphic askoi. Serving vessels: bowls.
- Plate 44. Serving vessels: bowls and dishes.
- Plate 45. Storage vessels: jars, suspension jars, pyxis lids.
- Plate 46. Communal vessels: jugs and jars.
- Plate 47. Stone vases: bowls (lid **SV7b** is not certainly the lid for **SV7a**).

- Plate 48. Stone vases: bowls and cylindrical vessels (lid **SV45b** probably belongs with cylindrical vessel **SV45a**).
- Plate 49. Miscellaneous stone vases.
- Plate 50. Pendants (J1–J19) and beads (J24, J36–J42).
- Plate 51. Necklaces (**J22**, **J23**, **J25**–**J35**).
- Plate 52. Perforated seashells (J43–J53); diadems (J54–J57); counters (C1–C7, C16–C33).
- Plate 53. Figurines (F1–F5), bone handle (MISC1), blade (MISC2), bone tube (MISC3), spindle whorl (MISC4), and clay rubber (MISC5).
- Plate 54. Seals from Tholos B (S1–S3).
- Plate 55. Seals from Tholos B (S4–S7).
- Plate 56. Seals from Tholos B (S8–S11).
- Plate 57. Seals from Tholos B (**S12–S16**).
- Plate 58. Seals from Rectangular Building, Room c, and the South Pit (S17–S20).
- Plate 59. Seals from the South Pit (S21–S25).
- Plate 60. Seals from the South Pit, East Pit, and Ossuary (S26–S31).
- Plate 61. Seals from the Ossuary (S32–S35).
- Plate 62. Seals from the Ossuary (S36–S40).
- Plate 63. Seals from the Ossuary (S41–S45).
- Plate 64. Seals from the Ossuary (S46–S49).
- Plate 65. Seals from the Ossuary (**S50–S52**).
- Plate 66. Early Simple Soft Stone seals from the cemetery (S5, S11, S22, S27, S39).
- Plate 67. Early Bone seals from the cemetery (S1, S4, S7–S10, S13, S14, S16, S28, S29).
- Plate 68. Ivory seals from the cemetery (S3, S6, S18, S20, S21, S24–S26).
- Plate 69. White Pieces from the cemetery (S23, S30, S32, S34, S36, S38, S40–S46, S48–S52).
- Plate 70. Seals of the end of the Prepalatial period made of bone and soft stone (**S2**, **S19**, **S31**, **S35**, **S37**, **S47**).



### Introduction and Acknowledgments

This volume presents the final report on the excavation of two Prepalatial tholos tombs and their associated remains at Chatzinas Liophyto near the Moni Odigitria (a monastery), as well as reports the results of the associated survey of the upper catchment of the Hagiopharango region in south-central Crete (Fig. 1).

The lower catchment of the Hagiopharango was surveyed in 1971 and 1972 by a team led by Keith Branigan and David Blackman. One of the sites recorded in the survey, the Prepalatial tholos tomb at Hagia Kyriaki, was excavated by the same team in 1972 following extensive but incomplete illegal looting. The reports on the survey and the excavation, together with a report on a limited survey of the south coast between the mouth of the Hagiopharango and Lasaia, were published in the *Annual of the British School at Athens* (Blackman and Branigan 1975, 1977, 1982).

The northwestern limit of the 1971–1972 survey was the Moni Odigitria itself. Had time and funds been available to survey the area immediately north of the monastery, then the Prepalatial cemetery at Chatzinas Liophyto would probably have been identified, and an archaeological catastrophe averted. As it was, Early Minoan III pottery was recorded from the site in 1975, and in 1979 large-scale illegal looting was reported. It is likely that the first illegal excavations began in the mid-1970s. As soon as looting was reported in 1979, Nota Dimopoulou was sent to undertake rescue excavations. In the spring of 1980, however, further illegal looting took place, and Yannis Sakellerakis, then Director of the 23rd Ephoria at Herakleion, gave Andonis Vasilakis the task of completing the exploration of the cemetery.

Given the enormous pressures under which the staff of the Archaeological Service operate, including further rescue excavations elsewhere to meet the threat

of illegal looting, it was no surprise that neither of the excavators were able to find the time and resources to record, analyze, and publish the excavations at Chatzinas Liophyto. During discussions in 2000, however, Andonis Vasilakis and Keith Branigan explored the potential for collaborative work to see the excavations through to publication. As a result, a program of recording, analysis, and writing was agreed in 2001, and applications for funding were submitted. Thanks to the generous support of those named below, the program was able to start in 2002. Study seasons were planned to take place in 2002, 2003, and 2004, with writing to be completed by autumn 2005. Due to the reorganization of both the Ephoreia and the Herakleion Museum as separate units, the preparation of the final plates, figures, and texts of some chapters was delayed for a year.

The delay, however, has allowed the incorporation into this volume of a report on the survey of the northwest upper catchment of the Hagiopharango, which was undertaken in 2003 by a team led by Keith Branigan and Andonis Vasilakis. The survey has allowed for the placement of the cemetery in its contemporary context, and the report on the cemetery undoubtedly benefits from having the survey published alongside it.

A program of work such as the excavation, study, and analysis of the Moni Odigitria Prepalatial cemetery and its surroundings is possible only because of the generosity and good will of several institutions and the hard work, expertise, and good will of many individuals. We take pleasure in having the opportunity to express our gratitude in a public and permanent form here.

Funding for the project was principally supplied by the Institute for Aegean Prehistory (INSTAP), to whom we are immensely grateful. At a personal level we are pleased to thank Philip Betancourt and Karen Vellucci for always making themselves available to offer sound advice and encouragement. Important financial support was also provided by the 23rd Ephoreia, the University of Sheffield, the British School at Athens, the British Academy, and the Trust for Mediterranean Archaeology. A debt of gratitude must be paid to the British School at Athens, the Archaeological Museum of Herakleion, and the University of Sheffield for making space and facilities for study and analysis available at Knossos, Herakleion, and Sheffield.

Many thanks to Nota Dimopoulou for allowing the publication here of her excavations of 1979, for allowing access to her excavation diaries, and for her willingness to discuss the excavations. The excavation of 1980 involved about 25 persons, and Andonis Vasilakis would like to thank the following people for their contributions to the successful completion of the work: Nikos Daskalakis, a skilled workman from Knossos, who was the excavation foreman; Sifis Kosoglou (philologist), Eugenia Lembidaki, Polixeni Arcahovitou, Eleni Giannakoulopoulou, Maria Manoura, and Prokopis Katomeris (then all students at the University of Athens) who formed the archaeological staff; 10 guards of antiquities from Phaistos, Gortyn, Hagia Triada, and Matala, under the chief guard from Phaistos, Zacharias Spyridakis who organized the workmen and the security (a role he played also at Hagia Kyriaki in 1972!); and 12 skilled workmen recruited from the nearby villages of Pitsidia, Petrokefali, Sivas, Vori, and Hagios Ioannes near Phaistos. The excavator was lucky to have the advice of Yannis Sakellarakis, who took much interest in the work and visited the site three times. His considerable experience of Prepalatial cemeteries, at Archanes-Phourni (Tholoi E and  $\Gamma$ ) and at the tholos near the village of Hagios Kyrillos in the Mesara, was very helpful. Malcolm Wiener also visited the excavation site and expressed his interest. The restorer, Georgios Lazanakis, undertook the conservation of the ruins of the cemetery in 2003.

The survey of the area north of the monastery involved a team of 12 members in addition to the directors. Particular thanks must be paid to Colin Merrony who, in addition to laying out the survey grid and planning several sites, also took on the role of general "trouble shooter." The field walkers were: Tim Campbell-Green, Garry Badeley, Lisa Barton, Victoria Brown, Mike Garnham, Marina Giasta, David Kingsnorth, Manolis Kosmadakis, Anne Melas, Flora Michelaki, Mark Peters, and Sanne-Marie Roberts. They worked hard in the field, and spent "leisure hours" washing and sorting pottery with Nong Branigan, and they are all owed a big thank you.

Fortunately, superb accommodation was found in Sivas and provided by Georgios and Pelagia Syngelakis to whom we are very grateful, and we should also like to thank the people of Sivas for making us welcome in their lovely village.

It is of course well known that a few weeks of fieldwork yields many, many months of recording and study in the museum and storerooms. Most of the postexcavation work was undertaken in the Stratigraphic Museum at Knossos where every assistance was given by successive curators Eleni Hatzaki and Don Evely, and during Don's absence by Todd Whitelaw, and by the *phylax* of the Knossos area, Stavros Amanakis. We owe much to all of them. We are obviously indebted to the specialists who spent so much of their time recording, analyzing, and studying the various materials from the excavations-Tristan Carter, Don Evely, Flora Michelaki, Kostas Sbonias, and Sevi Triantaphyllou. Particular mention must be made of, and profound gratitude expressed to, Tim Campbell-Green for the long hot hours spent meticulously sorting and recording the mountain of pottery sherds. Like so many Aegean archaeologists, we are also indebted to Ann Thomas for her careful drawings of the vases and Pepi Stefanaki prepared additional drawings of some of them. Greco-Roman pottery from the survey was examined and researched by Jane Francis to whom we are very grateful. Throughout the process of sorting and recording the pottery sherds, the expertise and comments of many others working in or visiting the Stratigraphic Museum was of great benefit, including Colin Macdonald, Alevdis Van de Moortel, Peter Warren, and Todd Whitelaw. Additionally, through the good offices of Vincenzo La Rosa we were able to visit the stores at Phaistos and benefit from Simona Todaro's knowledge of the Prepalatial sequence there.

Conservator Spiros Liapakis reconstructed the skulls from the Ossuary. The vases were reconstructed by conservators Georgios Iliakis, Kostas Vitorakis, Tasos and Eirine Karousos, and Panagiotis Sinadinakis.

All of this work is only now available for others working in the field due to the many painstaking hours that have gone into preparing the text and illustrations for publication. Thanks are due to the specialists for their contributions in this process, submitting materials in the formats and styles required by the publisher. Flora Michelaki worked for many hours and days transcribing manuscripts from Greek into English and vice versa, and she also had initial overall responsibility for the plans, drawings, and photographs, etc., for the publication. Katie Astrinaki prepared the illustrations of the seals. The photographs of the finds are the work of Georgios Xylouris and Christos Stefanakis. Particular thanks are due to Tim Campbell-Green for his initial manipulation of the pottery drawings and plates and some of the finds photographs. We are most indebted to Martin Dearne for his editing, copy editing, and manipulation to detail. He also drew or redrew the illustrations for Chapters 1 and 8, parts of Chapters 2 and 3, and the Bronzework and Stone Vases sections of Chapter 5, and he also completed the manipulation and

layout of many of the plates. Valasia Isaakidou assisted with checking bibliographical references in Greek, and Flora Michelaki helped with other bibliographical checks. Finally we must again record our gratitude to INSTAP for taking on the publication of this project, and to its staff for their prompt response and helpful advice whenever we have needed it.

#### Note on Herakleion Museum Numbers

Herakleion Museum numbers, where assigned or known, are given in chapters dealing with the finds from the cemetery excavation, generally in the form of HM xxxx, omitting any material/category code as this is readily deducible from the context in which they appear. Thus HM  $\Pi$  5436 for a pottery vessel is given as only HM 5436. Where there might be doubt about the material/category code, however, this is retained for the relevant entries in Chapters 5–9. Concordance B lists all of the HM numbers in alphanumeric order with their corresponding catalog numbers.



### List of Abbreviations

The following standard abbreviations are used throughout this volume. For abbreviations of human bones, see Tables 102 and 103.

AMS	Accelerator Mass Spectrometry	HM	Herakleion Museum
amt1	antemortem tooth loss	int.	interior
AV	average surface visibility	J	jewelry catalog number
С	counter catalog number	km	kilometer
ca.	circa	L	left
CG	chronological group	L.	length
cm	centimeters	LH	Late Helladic
CS	chipped stone catalog number	LM	Late Minoan
dia.	diameter	Μ	male
dims.	dimensions	m	meter
EBA	Early Bronze Age	MA	mature adult
EDM	electronic distance measuring device	m.a.s.l.	meters above sea level
EH	Early Helladic	max.	maximum
EM	Early Minoan	MH	Middle Helladic
est.	estimate(d)	MISC	miscellaneous catalog number
ext.	exterior	mm	millimeter
F	female	MM	Middle Minoan
F	figurine catalog number	MNI	minimum number of individuals
FN	Final Neolithic	MNV	minimum number of vessels
g.	grams	MSV	Warren, P. 1969. Minoan Stone
h.	height		Vases, Cambridge
ha.	hectare	NAD	notional average density

No.	number	ST	stone tool catalog number
om.	omada	SV	stone vessel catalog number
OA	old adult	TAQ	terminus ante quem
Р	pottery catalog number	TF	Type Fabric
PA	prime adult	th.	thickness
pers. com.	personal communication	TPQ	terminus post quem
pers. obs.	personal observation	Tr.	trench
pres.	preserved	VERA	Vienna Environmental Research
R	right		Accelerator
S	seal catalog number	W.	width
Sh	shell catalog number	wt.	weight
SP	survey pottery catalog number	YA	young adult
St.	stratum		



### Glossary

The text uses the following terms, which are specialized terminology or do not have exact English equivalents.

area	subdivision of a piece of landscape, usually defined by topographic features, or of an archaeological site
combing	decoration of a pot surface with a toothed comb, producing multiple parallel striations
context	homogenous archaeological deposit
hard standing	area of ground with a surfacing of rammed rubble or gravel
kouskouras	white marl
omada/omades	excavation unit(s)
phylax	guard
"poppies"	long metal pegs with a red ribbon tied around their top, used to denote significant points in a survey grid
pot boiler	large pebble, which is heated and dropped into a vessel of liquid as a way of heating it
Second Byzantine	A.D. 961–1204
skinoi	lentisk trees
slipped	solution of clay, which is used to coat a pottery vessel before it is fired
trackway	unsurfaced path
transect	minimal survey unit, in our case normally a one-meter-wide strip running from one side of a survey Field to the other
washed	suspension of clay or pigment brushed or poured over the surface of a pottery vessel before firing